THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.9436 of 2007

01.5.2007

Between:

Smt.Uppala Yellamma, W/o.U.K.Ranganayakulu
And others

… Petitioners

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
And another

… Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.9436 of 2007

ORDER:

The property admeasuring Acs.1.28 gts in survey No.48 of Lalaguda village of Marredpally Mandal in Secunderabad, was owned by Seri Nagi Reddy. He sold the property to Ignorkar Balaji in 1963. Petitioners herein allegedly purchased the property from him. Petitioners herein filed declaration under Section 6(1) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 (ULC Act, for brevity) before the Special Officer and Competent Authority, Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration. An extent of 1800 Sq.mts each was declared surplus and all the petitioners were allowed 1000 Sq.mts each. Thereafter, they statedly entered into development agreement with M/s.Sai Durga Constructions. They made representation to second respondent on 28.2.2006 for survey of the land, as the land is surrounded by railway property. On 10.4.2006, Inspector of Survey issued notice to M/s.Sai Durga Constructions and another requesting to be present on 20.4.2006 at 11.00 am but survey was not conducted. Thereafter petitioners approached the second respondent for survey, in vain. Therefore, the present writ petition is filed seeking a direction to second respondent to conduct survey.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-T) after getting instructions does not dispute that the application made on behalf of the petitioners is still pending. Therefore, this Court observes that the second respondent should take up the matter, conduct survey in accordance with relevant rules and regulations keeping in view the proceedings of the Special Officer for the Urban Land Ceiling referred to herein above. This exercise may be completed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition, with the above observations, is accordingly

disposed of. No costs.

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

May 01, 2007.
YS